

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/904,373	07/11/2001	Terry Lynn Cole	5500-58300	1646
7590 04/20/2005		EXAMINER		
B. Noel Kivlin			. MASON, DONNA K	
Conley, Rose, & Tayon, P.C. P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78767			2111	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/904,373	COLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donna K. Mason	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 January 2005</u> .					
<del></del>	,—					
	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-7,9,11-19,21,23 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-7,9,11-19,21,23 and 25 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

A

Application/Control Number: 09/904,373 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments (see pages 7-9) filed January 21, 2005, with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 3-7, 9, 11-19, 21, 23, and 25 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,6094,906 to Murphy, et al. ("Murphy").

The Examiner is persuaded that U.S. Patent No. 4,112,490 to Pohlman does not expressly disclose where a serial side bus is separate from a peripheral bus, as claimed. However, Murphy discloses this feature.

Therefore, the Examiner cannot allow claims 1, 3-7, 9, 11-19, 21, 23, and 25.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 11-19, 21, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,012,103 to Sartore, et al. ("Sartore") in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,916,692 to Clarke, et al. ("Clarke"), and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,604,906 to Murphy, et al. ("Murphy").

With regard to claims 1, 3, 14, and 15, Sartore discloses a method including the steps of querying a peripheral bus (column 1, lines 55-66), determining the presence of a peripheral device (column 1, lines 55-60), and reading configuration information (columns 1 and 2, lines 66-67 to lines 1-3), where the reading is performed over a serial side bus, and the serial side bus is coupled to the host controller and the peripheral device (Fig. 2, item 60; column 2, lines 43-45 and column 4, lines 24-30). The steps of querying, determining, reading, and configuring are performed by a host controller coupled to the peripheral bus (column 1, lines 55-60). Furthermore, Sartore discloses that the peripheral bus is a serial bus (column 1, lines 39-40).

With regard to dependent claims 4-7 and 16-19, Sartore discloses the configuration information including "one or more" of the characteristics enumerated in claims 4 and 16, where the clocking information includes a determination of whether a peripheral clock is a master clock or a slave clock, where the configuration information is passed from the peripheral device to the host controller (column 2, lines 43-45 and column 4, lines 24-30). Sartore also discloses the host controller reading device identification information from the peripheral device, and obtaining additional configuration information from a lookup table (column 1, lines 66-67 to column 2, lines 1-3).

With regard to dependent claims 9 and 21, Sartore discloses storing the configuration information in a serial erasable programmable read-only memory (EPROM) (column 4, lines 21-23).

Application/Control Number: 09/904,373

Art Unit: 2111

With regard to dependent claims 11-13, 23, and 25, Sartore discloses where the device identification information including includes identification and function of the peripheral device, and plug and play compatibility, and querying, determining, reading, and configuring additional peripheral devices coupled to the peripheral bus (column 2, lines 32-67 to column 3, lines 1-35).

Sartore does not expressly disclose the step of configuring the bus, where the configuring includes programming the peripheral device to use one or more timeslots, as recited in independent claims 1 and 14.

Clarke discloses the step of configuring the bus, where the configuring includes programming the peripheral device to use one or more timeslots (column 1, lines 47-68 to column 2, lines 1-39).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the step of configuring a bus in Clarke with Sartore.

The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to optimize versatility and speed in communications over the bus (column 1, lines 11-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Clarke with Sartore.

With further regard to independent claims 1 and 14, Sartore in view of Clarke does not expressly disclose the method or computer system where the serial side bus is separate from the peripheral bus.

Murphy discloses where a side serial bus is separate from a peripheral bus (Fig. 2, item 18 (serial bus) and item 16 (peripheral bus).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Murphy with Sartore in view of Clarke.

The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to increase the speed of the system by separating functions performed between the host controller and peripheral devices (column 4, lines 66-67 to column 5, lines 1-6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Murphy with Sartore in view of Clarke, to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 3-7, 9, 11-19, 21, 23, and 25.

#### Conclusion

4. A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donna K. Mason whose telephone number is (571) 272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark H. Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,373 Page 6

Art Unit: 2111

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DKM